

Cramer, Robert

From: Engan, Laura
Sent: Thursday, February 12, 2004 10:14 AM
To: Ives, Nancy; Cramer, Robert
Cc: Bob Greenstreet; Claude Schuttey; Monica Rimai; Katharine Lyall; Freda Harris; Marotta, Marc; gracz@local215.com; Engan, Laura
Subject: RE: Kenilworth Follow Up

If I recall, per Jim Plaistads comments, the community will have a crack at looking at the RFP and having input there as well.

Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Nancy Ives [mailto:nives@uwsa.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2004 3:10 PM
To: Cramer Robert
Cc: Bob Greenstreet; Claude Schuttey; Monica Rimai; Katharine Lyall; Freda Harris; marc.marotta@doa.state.wi.us; gracz@local215.com; laura.engan@doa.state.wi.us
Subject: Re: Kenilworth Follow Up
Importance: High

Rob, thanks for the schedule. I thought it would be wise to summarize the meeting held yesterday in the office of Rep. Jon Richards. In attendance were Secretary Marotta, Laura Engan, yourself, Alder D'Amato, Jim Plaistad (Exec Director of the East Side Assoc.), Chancellor Greenstreet, Monica Rimai (by phone), myself, and campus staff Claude Schuttey, Carla Ashenhurst, Amy Watson. To confirm the outcome of the meeting yesterday in Rep. Richards office, the Chancellor has agreed to abide by Secretary Marotta's recommendation to conduct a new RFP process, starting almost immediately. His recommendations are based on concerns his legal staff has about the process used initially, which in their view, might be subject to litigation. It is important that we see those written concerns so the new process does not follow the same path. In addition, the RFP will be modified to remove a specified minimum housing capacity. We also agreed to add community representation to the group reviewing the responses to the new RFP.

Your message asks whether we plan to revise the request to the Building Commission, and your attached schedule calls for the Building Commission to direct us to do a new RFP. We don't need Building Commission action to start over, do we?. Since the Chancellor has already agreed to accept that recommendation, we see no need to put the Building Commission in the awkward position of directing us to do that. It was quite clear at last month's meeting that the Commission does NOT want to be in the middle of this.

Therefore, I request that the item be WITHDRAWN FROM THE BUILDING COMMISSION AGENDA, and that YOU (in my absence) report to the Commission that we will be doing a new RFP at the direction of Secretary Marotta. Please (hit the reply all button to) advise Monica Rimai, Jim Albers, President Lyall and others of your decision on how you plan to handle this at the Feb 18 Building Commission meeting.

Aloha. .

P.S. to President Lyall: You will not need to attend the Building Commission meeting, but thanks for your willingness to do so.

"Cramer, Robert" wrote:

> Nancy -

Cramer, Robert

From: Nancy Ives [nives@uwsa.edu]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2004 3:10 PM
To: Cramer Robert
Cc: Bob Greenstreet; Claude Schuttey; Monica Rimai; Katharine Lyall; Freda Harris; marc.marotta@doa.state.wi.us; grac@local215.com; laura.engan@doa.state.wi.us
Subject: Re: Kenilworth Follow Up
Importance: High

Rob, thanks for the schedule. I thought it would be wise to summarize the meeting held yesterday in the office of Rep. Jon Richards. In attendance were Secretary Marotta, Laura Engan, yourself, Alder D'Amato, Jim Flaistad (Exec Director of the East Side Assoc.), Chancellor Greenstreet, Monica Rimai (by phone), myself, and campus staff Claude Schuttey, Carla Ashenhurst, Amy Watson. To confirm the outcome of the meeting yesterday in Rep. Richards office, the Chancellor has agreed to abide by Secretary Marotta's recommendation to conduct a new RFP process, starting almost immediately. His recommendations are based on concerns his legal staff has about the process used initially, which in their view, might be subject to litigation. It is important that we see those written concerns so the new process does not follow the same path. In addition, the RFP will be modified to remove a specified minimum housing capacity. We also agreed to add community representation to the group reviewing the responses to the new RFP.

Your message asks whether we plan to revise the request to the Building Commission, and your attached schedule calls for the Building Commission to direct us to do a new RFP. We don't need Building Commission action to start over, do we?. Since the Chancellor has already agreed to accept that recommendation, we see no need to put the Building Commission in the awkward position of directing us to do that. It was quite clear at last month's meeting that the Commission does NOT want to be in the middle of this.

Therefore, I request that the item be WITHDRAWN FROM THE BUILDING COMMISSION AGENDA, and that YOU (in my absence) report to the Commission that we will be doing a new RFP at the direction of Secretary Marotta. Please (hit the reply all button to) advise Monica Rimai, Jim Albers, President Lyall and others of your decision on how you plan to handle this at the Feb 18 Building Commission meeting.

Aloha.

P.S. to President Lyall: You will not need to attend the Building Commission meeting, but thanks for your willingness to do so.

"Cramer, Robert" wrote:

> Nancy -
>
> Here is the memo. Will UW submit a revised request or should we keep what
> we have and make a DOA recommendation?
>
> Have a GREAT trip.
>
> Rob
>
> <<RFP Process.doc>>
>
> -----
> Name: RFP Process.doc
> RFP Process.doc Type: WINWORD File (application/msword)
> Encoding: base64
>