

Republican Attorneys General Association: Hillary Clinton's Lawyer, Where is Josh Kaul

Posted on Friday, Feb 2, 2018

>> **WisPolitics is now on the State Affairs network. Get custom keyword notifications, bill tracking and all WisPolitics content. [Get the app or access via desktop.](#)**

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact:

zroday@republicanags.com

[202-296-5915](tel:202-296-5915)

Hillary Clinton's Lawyer *Where is Josh Kaul?*

WASHINGTON, D.C. – It is hard to believe President Donald J. Trump's first State of the Union was just three days ago. The focus of the national media has been on the House Intelligence Committee memo. Not surprisingly, there is a strong Wisconsin connection.

Josh Kaul, Democratic candidate for Wisconsin attorney general, is a lawyer at Perkins Coie who has also [represented Hillary Clinton](#). As *The Washington Post* has [reported](#), *"The Hillary Clinton campaign and the Democratic National Committee helped fund research that resulted in a now-famous dossier containing allegations about President Trump's connections to Russia and possible coordination between his campaign and the Kremlin."*

Enter Perkins Coie. This well known law firm was paid \$5.6 million in legal fees from June 2015 to December 2016 by the Clinton campaign. In addition, the DNC paid

the firm \$3.6 million in 'legal and compliance consulting' since November 2015.

"Josh Kaul claims to be against partisan politics, but he works for Hillary Clinton's political hatchet shop and has even represented Clinton in court. Wisconsinites can see right through these phony partisan games. Bottom line, Hillary Clinton's lawyer should stop hiding and comment on his firm's role in the dossier." -- **RAGA**
spokesman Zack Roday

From [The Cap Times](#):

Perkins Coie attorney Joshua Kaul has requested to appear on Clinton's behalf at the Tuesday hearing.

Clinton "respectfully supports the issuance of an order requiring a manual recount of all ballots cast in the presidential election in Wisconsin," Kaul wrote, arguing that manual recounts are superior to recounts conducted by machines.