

# Jorgensen campaign: Libertarian presidential ticket turns in ballot access signatures in three more states

Posted on Tuesday, Aug 4, 2020

>> **WisPolitics is now on the State Affairs network. Get custom keyword notifications, bill tracking and all WisPolitics content. [Get the app or access via desktop.](#)**

**CONTACT:** Elizabeth C. Brierly  
(408) 930-4172 or e-mail [Media@Jo20.com](mailto:Media@Jo20.com)

## **Dr. Jo Jorgensen likely to be on ballot in Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Maryland**

**ALEXANDRIA, Va.**— Sufficient petition signatures were turned in on Monday to put Libertarian Party candidate, Dr. Jo Jorgensen, on the ballot in Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, and Maryland.

Jorgensen said, “I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to the many petitioners who have pulled off this minor miracle.

“Years ago, I was a petition-gatherer, so I know how challenging a job it is to collect signatures in the best of times. Pandemic social-distancing requirements and other restrictions have made this the worst of times.”

Success in Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, and Maryland will bring the number of states where the LP has achieved presidential ballot access to 42 (plus D.C.).

Wisconsin requires 2,000 signatures; more than 3,000 will be turned in before the deadline. Pennsylvania’s requirement is 5,000. In a bid to moot any challenges, Libertarians turned in 10,500 signatures. In Maryland, 7,731 signatures were turned in to amply exceed the requirement of 5,000.

Several states reduced the number of petition signatures required during the pandemic as a result of party lawsuits. Only Pennsylvania and Maine refused to do so.

Campaign officials said that petitioning efforts in the remaining states look promising for achieving 50-state ballot access for the Libertarian presidential and vice-presidential ticket of Dr. Jo Jorgensen and Jeremy “Spike” Cohen.

“Our goal is to give voters in all 50 states and the District of Columbia a choice for millions of new jobs, free-market health care, low taxes, and a peaceful, non-interventionist foreign policy.”