

U.S. Rep. Gallagher: Presses DoD on UAPs

Posted on Tuesday, May 17, 2022

>> WisPolitics is now on the State Affairs network. Get custom keyword notifications, bill tracking and all WisPolitics content. [Get the app or access via desktop](#).

In a House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence hearing regarding Unidentified Aerial Phenomena (UAP), commonly referred to as UFOs, Rep. Mike Gallagher (WI-08) pressed Department of Defense officials on their knowledge of UAPs.

Watch the exchange [here](#), or read the transcript of the conversation below.

Rep. Gallagher: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for allowing me to join this hearing. I really appreciate the witness's testimony. Mr. Moultrie as the Chairman mentioned, DOD had initiative to study UFOs in the 1960s, called Project Blue Book. It's also been well reported in our briefing and other places, that we have more we have more recent projects, specifically AATIP. Could you describe any other initiatives that the DoD or DOD contractors have managed after Project Bluebook ended? And prior to AATIP beginning, did anything also predate project Bluebook?

Moultrie: So I can't speak to what may have predated Project Blue Book. I mean, of course, as Roswell and all these other things that people have talked about over the years. I'm familiar with Blue Book. I'm familiar with with AATIP. I haven't seen other documented studies that have been done by DOD in that regard.

Rep. Gallagher: So you're not aware of anything in between Project Blue Book and AATIP?

Moultrie: I'm not aware of anything that's official that was done in between those two- hasn't been brought to my attention.

Rep. Gallagher: Okay. Additionally, are you aware of any other DoD or DOD contract programs focused on UAPs from a technological engineering perspective? And by that, I mean, are you aware of any technology initiatives focused on this topic? Other than initiatives focused on the individual case in that investigations?

Moultrie: I'm not aware of any contractual programs that are focused on anything related to this other than what we are doing in the Navy Task Force, and what we are about to launch in terms of our effort.

Rep. Gallagher: Same question for you, Mr. Brown?

Bray: Same answer, not aware of anything outside what we are doing in the UAP task force.

Rep. Gallagher: So just to confirm, you're not aware of any technology or engineering resources that have been focused on these efforts, besides what we've mentioned today.

Bray: Once again, I'll say no contractual or programmatic efforts that are involved. The reason why I qualified, yeah, let me qualify it that way. I can't speak to what people may be looking at in the department. Somebody says I'm looking at something that may be identified, and I can't speak to that, speak to official programs that we have on the record.

Rep. Gallagher: It's also been reported that there have been UAP observed and interacting with and flying over sensitive military facilities, particularly not just ranges, but some facilities housing our strategic nuclear forces. One such incident allegedly occurred at Malmstrom Air Force Base in which 10 of our nuclear ICBMs were rendered inoperable. At the same time a glowing red orb was observed overhead. I'm not commenting on the accuracy of this. I'm simply asking you whether you're aware of it and whether you have any comments on the accuracy of that reporting.

Moultrie: I'll pass that to Mr. Bray, as you've been looking at the way PS over the last three years.

Bray: That data is not within the holdings of the UAP Task Force.

Rep. Gallagher: Okay, but are you aware of the report? Or that the data exists somewhere?

Bray: I have. I have heard stories, I have not seen the official data on that.

Rep. Gallagher: So you've just seen informal stories, no official assessment that you've done or exists within DOD, that you're aware of, regarding the Malmstrom incident?

Bray: All I can say, because you know, what's within my cognizance, the UAP Task Force, and we have not looked at that incident.

Rep. Gallagher: Well, I would say it's a pretty high profile incident. I don't claim to be an expert on this. But that's out there in the ether. You're the guys investigating it. I mean, who else is doing it?

Moultrie: If something was officially brought to our attention, we would look at it. There are many things that are out there in the ether that aren't officially brought to our attention.

Rep. Gallagher: How would it have to be officially brought to your newsfeed? I'm bringing it to your attention. This is pretty official.

Moultrie: So we'll go back and take a look at it. But generally, there is an authoritative figure that says 'there is an incident that occurred we'd like you to look at this'. But in terms of just tracking what may be in the media that says that something occurred at this time, at this place, there are probably a lot of leads that we would have to follow up on. I don't think we are resourced to do that right now.

Rep. Gallagher: Well, I don't claim to be an authoritative figure. But for what it's worth, I would like you to look into it. And if for another reason, you could dismiss it and say this is not worth wasting resources on. And then finally, are you aware of a document that appeared around 2019, sometimes called the Admiral Wilson memo, or EW Notes memo?

Moultrie: I'm not.

Bray: I'm not personally aware of that.

Rep. Gallagher: Okay. This is a document in which again, I'm not commenting on the veracity, I was hoping you would help me with that. In which the former head of DIA claims to have had a conversation with the Doctor Eric Wilson, claims to have sort of been made aware of certain contractors or duty programs, that he tried to get full access to and was denied access to. So you're not aware of that?

Moultrie: I'm not aware of that, Congressman.

Rep. Gallagher: In my 10 seconds remaining, then I guess I just would ask, Mr. Chairman, unanimous consent to enter that memo into the record.

Chairman: Without objection

Rep. Gallagher: Thank you, Mr Chairman.