

WisDems: Johnson once again votes against legislation that would hold the Chinese government accountable, address supply chain and inflation issues

Posted on Tuesday, Mar 29, 2022

>> **WisPolitics is now on the State Affairs network. Get custom keyword notifications, bill tracking and all WisPolitics content. [Get the app or access via desktop.](#)**

MADISON, Wis. – Today, Ron Johnson voted against the United States Innovation and Competition Act, which would address supply chain issues, help fight inflation, invest in manufacturing jobs, and hold the Chinese government accountable for their economic malfeasance.

“Ron Johnson continues to prove he’s only in Washington to fulfill his self-serving agenda – not work for Wisconsinites. Johnson faced a clear choice between standing up for Wisconsin workers and interests or standing with China and he picked standing with the Chinese government,” **Democratic Party of Wisconsin Senate Communications Advisor Philip Shulman** said.

What Johnson Voted Against:

- The bill allocated billions for the [semiconductor industry](#), an investment that could be beneficial to Wisconsin.
- The United States only produces 12% of the world’s microchips, so increasing

production at home would make America less dependent on foreign manufacturers while providing good paying jobs to Wisconsinites.

- “A growing chorus says that decreased production levels...are a threat to America’s competitiveness, national security and supply chain resilience. [Clearly, momentum is building for more fabs in the U.S.](#)”
- Wisconsin’s workforce could benefit from the tens of billions of dollars in technological and manufacturing research investments as well as technological education and training programs.
 - This would “[strengthen the U.S. tech sector as it competes against China.](#)”
- In addition to investing in Wisconsin workers and making the U.S. less dependent on foreign manufacturing, the legislation would [hold China accountable](#) for their cybersecurity threats, theft of U.S. intellectual property, and economic malfeasance.