

WisDems: ICYMI: Maria Lazar refuses to say how she would have ruled on 2020 lawsuit trying to overturn the 2020 election results to election conspiracies

Posted on Wednesday, Mar 11, 2026

>> **WisPolitics is now on the State Affairs network. Get custom keyword notifications, bill tracking and all WisPolitics content. [Get the app or access via desktop.](#)**

Lazar attended an event with Michael Flynn last October and was previously endorsed by Jim Troupis and Michael Gableman.

MADISON, Wis. — Maria Lazar just flunked it—she refused to comment on Trump’s attempt to overturn 2020 results in Wisconsin. While she tries to hide behind a facade of judicial independence, it’s clear that Lazar, who at the end of last year attended an event with Michael Flynn and was previously endorsed by Michael Gableman Jim Troupis, would be a rubber stamp for MAGA attacks on our democracy.

You can find video of the exchange here and a transcript below.

ZAC SCHULTZ [00:08:08] Another case that’s going back a few years now is Trump v. Biden from the 2020 election seeking to invalidate more than 200,000 votes from Dane and Milwaukee counties. That was a 4-3 decision on whether they should take the case. On standing, and decide then go forward, not actually how they would’ve ruled on that — but what was your interpretation of the decision of the court at that time?

MARIA LAZAR [00:08:29] Well, standing goes back all the way to the Teigen case as well, and talking about who has standing and taxpayer standing, in this case, it

wouldn't have been taxpayer, but voter standing. This is sort of an area that's really deeply in flux with our state Supreme Court. They have issued several opinions that have gone around the edges of it, and so I'm not going to comment any further on where they would go, because I honestly believe that standing is going to come back up in our next term, so when I'm on this court, I don't want to have someone say, "You said in an interview, so now you can't rule on this case."

ZAC SCHULTZ [00:09:00] As far as whether someone's going to say, "Well, you didn't answer the question, Trump v. Biden, would you have overturned all those votes?" Can you give me a reaction to that?

MARIA LAZAR [00:09:09] Well, I have answered the question, but with respect to overturning votes, I strongly believe that every vote should be counted, so every legal valid vote should be counted. So, I wouldn't comment and I don't actually know the parameters of how they were going to try to disenfranchise or not disenfranchise voters, so I really don't have any further thing that I can say about that case.