Chapter 5 Corporate Legal Defense to Facilitate Obstruction Might Violate the

Wisconsin Ethics Code

The unlawful actions of various Wisconsin election officials has opened them up to legal liability. In certain contexts, Wisconsin's election officials can enjoy legal immunity; in others, they can be represented by government attorneys or government-financed attorneys. Finally, in some contexts, unlawful actions of officials can place them in a position where they-- just like any other members of the public-- may have to hire and pay their own attorneys to defend themselves.

CEIR's Election Officials Legal Defense Network (EOLDN), announced in December of 2021, provides legal services for government officials on the hook for misconduct. In Wisconsin, this is not a solution to these election officials' legal problems. In fact, accepting EOLDN's legal services may get these election officials into more jeopardy, because the EOLDN system facially violates Wis. Stat. § 19.59 (1)(b), prohibiting such transactions. Wis. Stat. § 19.59 (1)(b) provides:

(b) No person may offer or give to a local public official, directly or indirectly, and no local public official may solicit or accept from any person, directly or indirectly, anything of value if it could reasonably be expected to influence the local public official's vote, official actions or judgment, or could reasonably be considered as a reward for any official action or inaction on the part of the local public official.

The problem is that CTCL and CEIR are Zuckerberg-Chan financed entities that worked together as a joint venture in the 2020 election. CTCL received \$350 million for the 2020 election. CEIR received \$69 million for the 2020 election. CTCL funded the \$8.8

million Wisconsin Safe Voting Plan (WSVP), which the cities of Milwaukee, Madison, Green Bay, Racine and Kenosha used to purchase illegal drop boxes and the provision of those funds constitutes election bribery under Wis. Stat. § 12.11.

EOLDN's three leaders: David Becker, Bob Bauer and Ben Ginsberg have different roles regarding the Zuckerbergs' CTCL and WSVP. Becker, as President of CEIR, received \$69 million from Zuckerberg-Chan. Bauer and Ginsberg are election law attorneys who likely represent-- or are being paid by-- CEIR, CTCL, or related entities. Not surprisingly, all three—Becker, Bauer and Ginsberg—have publicly supported CTCL's distributions in Wisconsin as lawful.

EOLDN should know that CTCL and CEIR are potential parties or witnesses to future illegal drop box or election bribery litigation or prosecutions. In turn, CEIR and related entities are disqualified from providing attorneys for Wisconsin election bribery defendants because they are potential parties, potential witnesses or biased due to previous representation of related parties. Further, it appears, EOLDN, on behalf of Zuckerberg and Chan, are improperly coordinating legal defenses of election officials to protect CTCL, CEIR, Zuckerberg, Chan and related entities and individuals.

By providing free legal defense services for election officials in these subject areas, EOLDN may be violating the first part of Wis. Stat. § 19.59 (1)(b), which prohibits such transactions:

No person may offer or give to a local public official, directly or indirectly, and no local public official may solicit or accept from any person, directly or

indirectly, anything of value if it could reasonably be expected to influence the local public official's vote, official actions or judgment.

The law applies to these circumstances as follows. The "person" is EOLDN or their attorney. The local public official is the election official receiving free EOLDN legal services. The "anything of value" provided is the free legal defense services provided by EOLDN. The gift of the free legal services could reasonably be expected to influence the election officials' official actions or judgment. Since EOLDN's free legal services will have foremost in mind protecting the interests of CTCL, CEIR, Zuckerberg and Chan, it will influence the election officials' official actions and judgment in defending Wis. Stat. § 5.06 administrative corrections and related criminal prosecutions. So, all the elements are satisfied for the transaction to be deemed prohibited.

By providing free legal defense services for election officials in these subject areas, EOLDN may be violating the second part of Wis. Stat. § 19.59 (1)(b) prohibiting such transactions:

No person may offer or give to a local public official, directly or indirectly, and no local public official may solicit or accept from any person, directly or indirectly, anything of value if it ...could reasonably be considered as a reward for any official action or inaction on the part of the local public official

The law applies to the circumstances as follows. The "person" is EOLDN or their attorney. The local public official is the election official receiving free EOLDN legal services. The "anything of value" provided is the free legal defense services provided by EOLDN. EOLDN or its attorney's gift of the legal services could reasonably be considered a reward for the official's actions regarding the illegal drop boxes, election bribery and/or violating

the special voting deputies law. Recall CTCL, Zuckerberg and Chan financed the illegal drop boxes and election bribery, so EOLDN's free legal services to the election officials could be reasonably seen as a "reward" for their participation in unlawful actions related to the election.