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**Wisconsin Supreme Court accepts one new case**

**Madison, Wisconsin** (Oct. 27, 2022) – The Wisconsin Supreme Court recently voted to accept one new case, and the Court acted to deny review in a number of other cases. The case numbers, counties of origin and the issues presented in granted cases are listed below. More information about pending appellate cases can be found on the Wisconsin Supreme Court and Court of Appeals Access [website](http://wscca.wicourts.gov/caseSearch.xsl;jsessionid=83EA5CA4ABC7C9BF453FB56FDED0728F). Published Court of Appeals opinions can be found [here](https://www.wicourts.gov/opinions/appeals.jsp), and the status of pending Supreme Court cases can be found [here](https://www.wicourts.gov/supreme/sc_tabpend.jsp).

2022AP652 State v. A.G.

**Supreme Court case type:**  Petition for Review

**Court of Appeals:** District I

**Circuit Court:** Milwaukee County, Judge Ellen R. Brostrom, reversed

**Long caption:** In re the termination of parental rights to A.G., a person under the age of 18:State of Wisconsin,Petitioner-Respondent-Petitionerv.A. G.,Respondent-Appellant

**Issues presented by the Guardian ad Litem:**

1. Whether criminal procedures prescribed in Bangert (State v. Bangert, 131 Wis. 2d 246, 389 N.W.2d 12 (1986)) regarding review of a motion to withdraw plea should rigidly apply to termination of parental rights proceedings.
2. Whether a respondent parent loses their right to appeal after failing to attend a remand hearing for which they were provided sufficient notice of the hearing and for which there is no excuse given for their lack of appearance.

**Issue presented by the State:**

1. Was A.G.’s plea entered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily?

(Justice Patience Drake Roggensack did not participate)

**Review denied:** The Supreme Court denied review in the following cases. As the state’s law-developing court, the Supreme Court exercises its discretion to select for review only those cases that fit certain [statutory criteria](http://nxt.legis.state.wi.us/nxt/gateway.dll?f=templates&fn=default.htm&d=stats&jd=top) (see Wis. Stat. § 809.62). Except where indicated, these cases came to the Court via petition for review by the party who lost in the lower court:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2022AP1040-W | Kurtz v. Circuit Court for Sheboygan County |
| 2022AP1237-W | Patterson v. Circuit Court for Kenosha County |
| 2020AP1280 | State v. Mick |
| 2020AP1429-CR | State v. Moronez |
| 2020AP1904 | Geigle v. Benner |
| 2020AP1955-CRNM | State v. Carter |
| 2020AP2063 | State v. Hill |
| 2021AP533-CR  2021AP534-CR | State v. Smiter |
| 2021AP535-CR | State v. Jackson |
| 2021AP606-CR | State v. Mitchell |
| 2021AP708-CR | State v. Meisenhelder |
| 2021AP732-CR | State v. Warrix |
| 2021AP949-CR | State v. Arendt |
| 2021AP953-CR | State v. Matagi |
| 2021AP1069-CR | State v. Pouzar |
| 2021AP1378-CR | State v. House |
| 2021AP1509-CR  2021AP1654-CR | State v. Thiry  State v. Ferraro |
| 2021AP1615-W | Rossin v. Circuit Court of Grant County |
| 2022AP1258-W | Pierce v. Court of Appeals, District IV |
| 2020AP1658 | Burby v. Langlade County |
| 2021AP666-CR | State v. Deegan |
| 2021AP1943-CR | State v. Blount |
| 2021AP2220 | Board of Regents of the U.W. v. Perkins |
| 2022AP398-FT  2022AP797-OA  2021AP29-CR  2021AP85-CR  2021AP297-CR  2021AP472-CR  2021AP694-CR  2021AP698  2021AP1133-CR  2021AP1161  2021AP1263  2021AP2137  2022AP524  2022AP525  2022XX675-CR  2022AP602  2022AP810-W  2020AP1406 | Waukesha County v. D.J.P.  McHenry v. Armstrong Containers, Inc.  State v. Zuerner  State v. Crawford  State v. Sweet  State v. Giguere  State v. Linton  State v. Phelps  State v. Nelson  Heyer v. Clintonville  (Justice Rebecca Grassl Bradley and Justice Brian Hagedorn dissent)  Mallett v. LIRC  State v. D.L.  State v. S.S.M.  (Justice Patience Drake Roggensack did not participate)  State v. Hernandez  McCarthy v. Pagel (bypass)  Valadez v. Circuit Court for Waukesha County  State v. Kothbauer |